

MCTX3420 Team 4: Progress Report #4 (Summary)

Sam Moore, Rowan Heinrich, Callum Schofield, James Rosher, Justin Kruger, Jeremy Tan

Callum:

1. **Fixed compiling errors in regards to compiling OpenCV code and accessing header files and libraries**
2. **Wrote the pseudo code for capturing and storing an image in OpenCV out into C**
 - a. Debugged and modified code to fix some errors
 - b. Tested code, works with laptop camera however is only able to save an image roughly once per second
3. **Added code to timestamp the images**

Sam:

1. **Implement Sensor handler function for FastCGI**
 - a. Merged Jeremy's FastCGI API with the server framework
 - b. Wrote a Sensor Handler function to respond to HTTP requests with sensor data.
2. **Improve multithreaded framework's error handling and exit condition checking**
 - a. Create run state that must be checked periodically by all threads, set (once only) by any thread on exit.
 - b. Difficulties getting FastCGI request loop to exit, since *FCGI_Accept* is a blocking function
 - c. Running server in valgrind to find memory errors. See <http://valgrind.org/>
3. **Consider transfer of sensor data in more detail**
 - a. Double buffer tested by Jeremy is fast; could be problems if multiple requests arrive at the same time.
 - b. Time stamp sensor data using *gettimeofday(2)*. Work on transferring data acquired since a specified timestamp (instead of just dumping a buffer of the most recent points). Use *clock_gettime(2)* instead?

Jeremy:

1. **Completed integration of FastCGI code with the main server code**
 - a. Reworked the exposed functions in *fastcgi.c* to make more sense and for convenience
 - b. Status reworked into the JSON reply instead of the HTTP status code to overcome AJAX limitations
2. **Tested authorization scheme to oversee who has control of the device at any one time**
 - a. Authorized users must enter user/pass to gain an access token
 - b. Access token controls who has control at that point
3. **Explored double buffer concept for obtaining sensor data**
 - a. Potentially faster (mutex only covers pointer swap)
 - b. Concurrent access may/may not be an issue; benefit over binary file questionable

James:

1. **Wrote a dummy test UI page**
 - a. UI test page to test client to server interactions.
2. **Started writing rules for handling of data**
 - a. 2 priority levels. High and Normal.

Rowan & Justin:

1. **Investigated coding on the BeagleBone Black (BBB) and system capabilities:**
 - a. Three languages: Python, Javascript and C; currently pursuing C code to attach with server
 - b. ADCs and pins can be interfaced through Linux sysfs e.g. */sys/devices/platform/tsc/ain#*
 - c. Testing commands can be sent through Linux shell e.g. *cat # /sys/class/gpio/gpio%d/attribute*
 - d. Online documentation resources for interface: circuitco.com/support/index.php?title=BoneScript
2. **Coded sample programs to interact with GPIOs and control systems on BBB:**
 - a. One sample (C) that triggers and reads a sensor attached to one of the ADC modules
 - b. One sample (C) to blink an onboard LED and send commands to GPIO pins
 - c. Modified BBB inbuilt *generic_buffer.c* drivers, can now read and write blocks of sensor data

Information exchanged with other teams (Meeting 2013-08-20 at 9am):

1. Two cans will be used. At least one camera will be used with no processing (stream images).
2. Another (or the same) camera *might* be used to process images from an interferometer.
3. Beaglebone will be used. It has already been ordered.
4. Wheatstone bridges will be used, so a single ADC will be used to read multiple sensors
5. Told electronics team not to worry about writing software on the Beaglebone, since that's our job.

Work TODO:

1. **Get basic GUI implemented for testing how data is transferred through the API**
2. **Add Actuator Handlers and sensors interface functions to server**
3. **Test code on an actual Beaglebone**
4. **Investigate streaming of images using Beaglebone**